# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

ELIZABETH SINES : Case No. 3:17-cv-00072

Plaintiff : Judge MOON

Mag. Judge Hoppe

:

-**v**-

JASON KESSLER et al.

:

**Defendants** 

#### PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

Now come defendants Jason Kessler, Nathan Damigo, and Identity Evropa ("Defendants") and submit their proposed Verdict Form.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

# FINDINGS ON PLAINTIFFS' 42 U.S.C. 1985(3) CLAIMS

# **Plaintiff Elizabeth Sines**

1.	Has Elizabeth Sines proven by a preponderance of the evidence that any specific defendant conspired with any other specific person to violate her constitutional rights?				
	Yes	(for Elizabeth Sines)	No	(for Defendants)	
2.	•	red "Yes" to Question No. 1, ce that Jason Kessler conspir		Sines proven by a preponderance er constitutional rights?	
	Yes	(for Elizabeth Sines)	No	(for Jason Kessler)	
3.		red "Yes" to Question No. 1, ce that Nathan Damigo cons		Sines proven by a preponderance her constitutional rights?	
	Yes	(for Elizabeth Sines)	No	(for Nathan Damigo)	
4.		red "Yes" to Question No. 1, ce that Identity Evropa consp		Sines proven by a preponderance her constitutional rights?	
	Yes	(for Elizabeth Sines)	No	(for Identity Evropa)	
Plain	tiff Natalie Ro	omero:			
5.		Romero proven by a prepond a spired with any other specification.		vidence that any specific olate her constitutional rights?	
	Yes	(for Natalie Romero)	No	(for Defendants)	

6.		vered "Yes" to Question No. 5, nce of the evidence that Jason		comero proven by a price of the violate her constitutional
	Yes	(for Natalie Romero)	No	(for Jason Kessler)
7.		vered "Yes" to Question No. 5, nce of the evidence that Natha		domero proven by a aspired to violate her constitutional
	Yes	(for Natalie Romero)	No	(for Nathan Damigo)
8.	If you answ prepondera rights?	vered "Yes" to Question No. 5, nce of the evidence that Identi	, has Natalie R ty Evropa con	Comero proven by a spired to violate her constitutional
	Yes	(for Natalie Romero)	No	(for Identity Evropa)
Plaint	iff Thomas	Baker:		
9.		s Baker proven by a preponde conspired with any other speci-		vidence that any specific violate his constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Thomas Baker)	No	(for Defendants)
10		vered "Yes" to Question No. 9, ence that Jason Kessler conspin		Baker proven by a preponderance nis constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Thomas Baker)	No	(for Jason Kessler)
11.		vered "Yes" to Question No. 9, ence that Nathan Damigo cons		Baker proven by a preponderance e his constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Thomas Baker)	No	(for Nathan Damigo)
12.		vered "Yes" to Question No. 9, ence that Identity Evropa consp		Baker proven by a preponderance e his constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Thomas Baker)	No	(for Identity Evropa)

# **Plaintiff Seth Wispelwey:**

13.		spelwey proven by a prepond nspired with any other specif		evidence that any specific violate his constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Seth Wispelwey)	No	(for Defendants)
14.		red "Yes" to Question No. 9, ce of the evidence that Jason		spelwey proven by a pired to violate his constitutional
	Yes	(for Seth Wispelwey)	No	(for Jason Kessler)
15.		red "Yes" to Question No. 5, ce of the evidence that Nathan		spelwey proven by a nspired to violate his constitutiona
	Yes	(for Seth Wispelwey	No	(for Nathan Damigo)
16.		red "Yes" to Question No. 13 ce of the evidence that Identit		ispelwey proven by a aspired to violate his constitutional
	Yes	(for Seth Wispelwey)	No	(for Identity Evropa)
Plaint	tiff Marissa B	slair:		
17.		Blair proven by a prepondera th any other specific person t		ridence that any specific defendant constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Marissa Blair) No_		(for Defendants)
18.		red "Yes" to Question No. 17 ce that Jason Kessler conspir		a Blair proven by a preponderance her constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Marissa Blair) No		_(for Jason Kessler)

19.				sa Blair proven by a preponderance ate her constitutional rights?
	Yes	_(for Marissa Blair)	No	_(for Nathan Damigo)
20.				sa Blair proven by a preponderance ate her constitutional rights?
	Yes	_(for Marissa Blair)	No	_(for Identity Evropa)
Plaint	iff April Muniz	:		
21.				idence that any specific defendant r constitutional rights?
	Yes	_(for April Muniz)	No	_(for Defendants)
22.				Muniz proven by a preponderance her constitutional rights?
	Yes	_(for April Muniz)	No	_(for Jason Kessler)
23.				Muniz proven by a preponderance ate her constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for April Muniz)	No	_(for Nathan Damigo)
24.				Muniz proven by a preponderance ate her constitutional rights?
	Yes	_(for April Muniz)	No	_(for Identity Evropa)
Plaint	iff Marcus Mar	tin:		
25.		1 2 1 1		evidence that any specific violate his constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Marcus Martin	n) No	(for Defendants)

26.	If you answered preponderance rights?	d "Yes" to Question No. 25, of the evidence that Jason K	has Marcus Marti Lessler conspired t	in proven by a o violate his constitutional
	Yes	(for Marcus Martin)	No	_(for Jason Kessler)
27.		d "Yes" to Question No. 25, of the evidence that Nathan		in proven by a d to violate his constitutional
	Yes	(for Marcus Martin)	No	_(for Nathan Damigo)
28.		d "Yes" to Question No. 25, of the evidence that Identity		in proven by a I to violate his constitutional
	Yes	(for Marcus Martin)	No	(for Identity Evropa)
Plaint	iff Chelsea Alv	arado:		
29.		varado proven by a prepond pired with any other specific		
	Yes	(for Chelsea Alvarado)	No	(for Defendants)
30.		d "Yes" to Question No. 29, that Jason Kessler conspire		
	Yes	(for Chelsea Alvarado)	No	(for Jason Kessler)
31.		d "Yes" to Question No. 29, that Nathan Damigo conspi		
	Yes	(for Chelsea Alvarado)	No	_(for Nathan Damigo)
32.		d "Yes" to Question No. 29, that Identity Evropa conspi		
	Yes	(for Chelsea Alvarado)	No	(for Identity Evropa)

#### **Plaintiff Devin Willis:**

33.	33. Has Devin Willis proven by a preponderance of the evidence that any specific defendar conspired with any other specific person to violate his constitutional rights?			
	Yes	(for Devin Willis)	No	_(for Defendants)
34.				Willis proven by a preponderance his constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Devin Willis)	No	_(for Jason Kessler)
35.				Willis proven by a preponderance ate his constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Devin Willis)	No	_(for Nathan Damigo)
36.				Willis proven by a preponderance ate his constitutional rights?
	Yes	_(for Devin Willis)	No	_(for Identity Evropa)
<u>Plaint</u>	FIN iff Elizabeth Sir	DINGS ON PLAIN nes	TIFFS' 42 U.S.C	C. 1986 CLAIMS
37.				e evidence that any specific violate her constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Elizabeth Sine	s) No	(for Defendants)
38.	If you answered preponderance rights?	1 "Yes" to Question I of the evidence that J	No. 38, has Elizab ason Kessler cons	eth Sines proven by a spired to violate her constitutional
	Yes	(for Elizabeth Sine	s) No	(for Jason Kessler)

39	9. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 38, has Elizabeth Sines proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Nathan Damigo conspired to violate her constitutional rights?				
	Yes	(for Elizabeth Sines)	No	_(for Nathan Damigo)	
40		d "Yes" to Question No. 38 of the evidence that Identity		es proven by a I to violate her constitutional	
	Yes	(for Elizabeth Sines)	No	_(for Identity Evropa)	
Plaint	tiff Natalie Ron	iero:			
41		omero proven by a preponde pired with any other specifi			
	Yes	(for Natalie Romero)	No	_(for Defendants)	
42		d "Yes" to Question No. 41 of the evidence that Jason F			
	Yes	(for Natalie Romero)	No	_(for Jason Kessler)	
43		d "Yes" to Question No. 41 of the evidence that Nathan		ero proven by a I to violate her constitutional	
	Yes	(for Natalie Romero)	No	_(for Nathan Damigo)	
44		d "Yes" to Question No. 41 of the evidence that Identity		ero proven by a I to violate her constitutional	
	Yes	(for Natalie Romero)	No	_(for Identity Evropa)	

#### **Plaintiff Thomas Baker:**

45.		ker proven by a prepondera pired with any other specifi		
	Yes	_(for Thomas Baker)	No	_(for Defendants)
46.		I "Yes" to Question No. 45, of the evidence that Jason K		
	Yes	_(for Thomas Baker)	No	_(for Jason Kessler)
47.		1 "Yes" to Question No. 45, of the evidence that Nathan		er proven by a I to violate his constitutional
	Yes	_(for Thomas Baker)	No	_(for Nathan Damigo)
48.		1 "Yes" to Question No. 45, of the evidence that Identity		er proven by a I to violate his constitutional
	Yes	(for Thomas Baker)	No	_(for Identity Evropa)
Plaint	iff Seth Wispelv	wey:		
49.		elwey proven by a preponde pired with any other specifi		
	Yes	(for Seth Wispelwey)	No	_(for Defendants)
50.		I "Yes" to Question No. 49, of the evidence that Jason K		
	Yes	(for Seth Wispelwey)	No	(for Jason Kessler)

Yes(for Seth Wispelwey No(for Nathan Damigo)  52. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 49, has Seth Wispelwey proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Identity Evropa conspired to violate his constitutinghts?  Yes(for Seth Wispelwey) No(for Identity Evropa)  Plaintiff Marissa Blair:  53. Has Marissa Blair proven by a preponderance of the evidence that any specific defenconspired with any other specific person to violate her constitutional rights?  Yes(for Marissa Blair) No(for Defendants)  54. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a preponderate of the evidence that Jason Kessler conspired to violate her constitutional rights?  Yes(for Marissa Blair) No(for Jason Kessler)  55. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a preponderate of the evidence that Nathan Damigo conspired to violate her constitutional rights?  Yes(for Marissa Blair) No(for Identity Evropa)	51		of the evidence that Nathan		elwey proven by a red to violate his constitutiona	.]
preponderance of the evidence that Identity Evropa conspired to violate his constitutinghts?  Yes(for Seth Wispelwey) No(for Identity Evropa)  Plaintiff Marissa Blair:  53. Has Marissa Blair proven by a preponderance of the evidence that any specific defendence on the evidence with any other specific person to violate her constitutional rights?  Yes(for Marissa Blair) No(for Defendants)  54. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a preponderate of the evidence that Jason Kessler conspired to violate her constitutional rights?  Yes(for Marissa Blair) No(for Jason Kessler)  55. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a preponderate of the evidence that Nathan Damigo conspired to violate her constitutional rights?  Yes(for Marissa Blair) No(for Nathan Damigo)  56. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a preponderate of the evidence that Identity Evropa conspired to violate her constitutional rights?		Yes	(for Seth Wispelwey	No	(for Nathan Damigo)	
<ul> <li>53. Has Marissa Blair proven by a preponderance of the evidence that any specific defenconspired with any other specific person to violate her constitutional rights?</li> <li>Yes</li></ul>	52	preponderance				1
53. Has Marissa Blair proven by a preponderance of the evidence that any specific defendence on the conspired with any other specific person to violate her constitutional rights?  Yes		Yes	(for Seth Wispelwey)	No	(for Identity Evropa)	
Yes(for Marissa Blair) No(for Defendants)  54. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a prepondera of the evidence that Jason Kessler conspired to violate her constitutional rights?  Yes(for Marissa Blair) No(for Jason Kessler)  55. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a prepondera of the evidence that Nathan Damigo conspired to violate her constitutional rights?  Yes(for Marissa Blair) No(for Nathan Damigo)  56. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a prepondera of the evidence that Identity Evropa conspired to violate her constitutional rights?	Plain	tiff Marissa Bla	ir:			
<ul> <li>54. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a prepondera of the evidence that Jason Kessler conspired to violate her constitutional rights?</li> <li>Yes (for Marissa Blair) No (for Jason Kessler)</li> <li>55. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a prepondera of the evidence that Nathan Damigo conspired to violate her constitutional rights?</li> <li>Yes (for Marissa Blair) No (for Nathan Damigo)</li> <li>56. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a prepondera of the evidence that Identity Evropa conspired to violate her constitutional rights?</li> </ul>	53					
Yes(for Marissa Blair) No(for Jason Kessler)  55. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a prepondera of the evidence that Nathan Damigo conspired to violate her constitutional rights?  Yes(for Marissa Blair) No(for Nathan Damigo)  56. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a prepondera of the evidence that Identity Evropa conspired to violate her constitutional rights?		Yes	(for Marissa Blair) No	(for	r Defendants)	
<ul> <li>55. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a prepondera of the evidence that Nathan Damigo conspired to violate her constitutional rights?</li> <li>Yes (for Marissa Blair) No (for Nathan Damigo)</li> <li>56. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a prepondera of the evidence that Identity Evropa conspired to violate her constitutional rights?</li> </ul>	54					
Yes(for Marissa Blair) No(for Nathan Damigo)  56. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a prepondera of the evidence that Identity Evropa conspired to violate her constitutional rights?		Yes	(for Marissa Blair) No	(for	r Jason Kessler)	
56. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 53, has Marissa Blair proven by a prepondera of the evidence that Identity Evropa conspired to violate her constitutional rights?	55					:
of the evidence that Identity Evropa conspired to violate her constitutional rights?		Yes	(for Marissa Blair) No	(for	r Nathan Damigo)	
Yes(for Marissa Blair) No(for Identity Evropa)	56	of the evidence	d "Yes" to Question No. 53, that Identity Evropa conspi	has Marissa Bla red to violate he	air proven by a preponderance or constitutional rights?	
		Yes	(for Marissa Blair) No	(for	r Identity Evropa)	

57. Has April Muniz proven by a preponderance of the evidence that any specific defendant

# **Plaintiff April Muniz:**

	conspired with	any other specific pe	erson to violate h	er constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for April Muniz)	No	(for Defendants)
58.	•	_	-	Muniz proven by a preponderance te her constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for April Muniz)	No	(for Jason Kessler)
59.				Muniz proven by a preponderance late her constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for April Muniz)	No	(for Nathan Damigo)
60.				Muniz proven by a preponderance late her constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for April Muniz)	No	(for Identity Evropa)
Plaint	iff Marcus Ma	rtin:		
61.				e evidence that any specific o violate his constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Marcus Martin	n) No	(for Defendants)
62.				us Martin proven by a aspired to violate his constitutional
	Yes	(for Marcus Martin	n) No	(for Jason Kessler)
63.				us Martin proven by a constitutional
	Yes	(for Marcus Martin	n) No	(for Nathan Damigo)

64. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 61, has Marcus M				
	Yes	(for Marcus Martin)	No	(for Identity Evropa)
Plaint	tiff Chelsea Alv	arado:		
65		varado proven by a prepond pired with any other specifi		vidence that any specific ate her constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Chelsea Alvarado)	No	(for Defendants)
66		d "Yes" to Question No. 65, that Jason Kessler conspire		z proven by a preponderance constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Chelsea Alvarado)	No	(for Jason Kessler)
67		d "Yes" to Question No. 65, that Nathan Damigo conspi		z proven by a preponderance er constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Chelsea Alvarado)	No	(for Nathan Damigo)
68		d "Yes" to Question No. 65, that Identity Evropa conspi		z proven by a preponderance er constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Chelsea Alvarado)	No	(for Identity Evropa)
Plaint	tiff Devin Willis	:		
69		lis proven by a preponderan any other specific person to		ce that any specific defendant stitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Devin Willis) No	(for	r Defendants)
70		d "Yes" to Question No. 69, that Jason Kessler conspire		is proven by a preponderance constitutional rights?
	Vec	(for Devin Willis) No	(for	r Iason Kessler)

71		ered "Yes" to Question No. 6 ence that Nathan Damigo cons		Willis proven by a preponderance te his constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Devin Willis) No_		_(for Nathan Damigo)
72		ered "Yes" to Question No. 6 nce that Identity Evropa cons		Willis proven by a preponderance te his constitutional rights?
	Yes	(for Devin Willis) No_		_(for Identity Evropa)
		S ON PLAINTIFFS' VIRGI	INIA CIVIL	CONSPIRACY CLAIMS
<u>Plain</u>	<u>tiff Elizabeth</u>	1 Sines		
73		eth Sines proven by a prepond onspired with any other speci		
	Yes	(for Elizabeth Sines)	No	(for Defendants)
74		ered "Yes" to Question No. 7.		
	Yes	(for Elizabeth Sines)	No	(for Jason Kessler)
75		ered "Yes" to Question No. 7.		
	Yes	(for Elizabeth Sines)	No	(for Nathan Damigo)
76		ered "Yes" to Question No. 7.		
	Yes	(for Elizabeth Sines)	No	(for Identity Evropa)

#### **Plaintiff Natalie Romero:**

77. Has Natalie Romero proven by a preponderance of the evided defendant conspired with any other specific person to violate					
	Yes	_(for Natalie Romero)	No	_(for Defendants)	
78.		"Yes" to Question No. 77, of the evidence that Jason K			
	Yes	_(for Natalie Romero)	No	_(for Jason Kessler)	
79.		"Yes" to Question No. 77, of the evidence that Nathan			
	Yes	_(for Natalie Romero)	No	_(for Nathan Damigo)	
80.		"Yes" to Question No. 77, of the evidence that Identity			
	Yes	_(for Natalie Romero)	No	_(for Identity Evropa)	
Plaint	iff Thomas Bak	er:			
81.		ker proven by a prepondera pired with any other specific			
	Yes	_(for Thomas Baker)	No	_(for Defendants)	
82.		"Yes" to Question No. 81, of the evidence that Jason K			
	Yes	_(for Thomas Baker)	No	_(for Jason Kessler)	
83.		"Yes" to Question No. 81, of the evidence that Nathan			
	Yes	_(for Thomas Baker)	No	_(for Nathan Damigo)	

If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 81, has Thomas Baker proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Identity Evropa conspired to violate his rights?			
Yes	_(for Thomas Baker)	No	(for Identity Evropa)
iff Seth Wispelv	vey:		
1			· 1
Yes	_(for Seth Wispelwey)	No	(for Defendants)
Yes	_(for Seth Wispelwey)	No	(for Jason Kessler)
Yes	_(for Seth Wispelwey	No	(for Nathan Damigo)
Yes	_(for Seth Wispelwey)	No	(for Identity Evropa)
iff Marissa Blai	r:		
Yes	_(for Marissa Blair) No	(for l	Defendants)
	Yes	yes	yes

90. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 89, has Marissa Blair proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Jason Kessler conspired to violate her rights?

	Yes	_(for Marissa Blair)	No	_(for Jason Kessler)		
91.	91. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 89, has Marissa Blair proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Nathan Damigo conspired to violate her rights?					
	Yes	_(for Marissa Blair)	No	_(for Nathan Damigo)		
92.		"Yes" to Question Nethat Identity Evropa		a Blair proven by a preponderance te her rights?		
	Yes	_(for Marissa Blair)	No	_(for Identity Evropa)		
Plaint	iff April Muniz:					
93.		z proven by a prepor any other specific pe		dence that any specific defendant rights?		
	Yes	_(for April Muniz)	No	_(for Defendants)		
94.		"Yes" to Question Nethat Jason Kessler co		Muniz proven by a preponderance her rights?		
	Yes	_(for April Muniz)	No	_(for Jason Kessler)		
95.		"Yes" to Question Nathat Nathan Damigo		Muniz proven by a preponderance te her rights?		
	Yes	_(for April Muniz)	No	_(for Nathan Damigo)		
96.		"Yes" to Question Nethat Identity Evropa		Muniz proven by a preponderance te her rights?		
	Yes	_(for April Muniz)	No	_(for Identity Evropa)		

#### **Plaintiff Marcus Martin:**

97. Has Marcus Martin proven by a preponderance of the evidence that any specific defendant conspired with any other specific person to violate his rights?

Yes	(for Marcus Martin)	No	(for Defendants)
	wered "Yes" to Question No. 9 ance of the evidence that Jason		
Yes	(for Marcus Martin)	No	(for Jason Kessler)
	wered "Yes" to Question No. 9 nnce of the evidence that Natha		
Yes	(for Marcus Martin)	No	(for Nathan Damigo)
	ou answered "Yes" to Questio ance of the evidence that Identi		
Yes	(for Marcus Martin)	No	(for Identity Evropa)
Plaintiff Chelsea	Alvarado:		
	s Chelsea Alvarado proven by fendant conspired with any oth		
Yes	(for Chelsea Alvarado)	No	(for Defendants)
	rou answered "Yes" to Questio ance of the evidence that Jason		
Yes	(for Chelsea Alvarado)	No	(for Jason Kessler)
	you answered "Yes" to Questio ance of the evidence that Natha		
Yes	(for Chelsea Alvarado)	No	(for Nathan Damigo)
	rou answered "Yes" to Questio ance of the evidence that Identi		
Yes	(for Chelsea Alvarado)	No	(for Identity Evropa)

# **Plaintiff Devin Willis:**

	Has Devin Willis proven by a dant conspired with any other s		nce of the evidence that any specific on to violate his rights?
Yes_	(for Devin Willis)	No	(for Defendants)
	If you answered "Yes" to Quenderance of the evidence that J		5, has Devin Willis proven by a conspired to violate his rights?
Yes_	(for Devin Willis)	No	(for Jason Kessler)
			5, has Devin Willis proven by a go conspired to violate his rights?
Yes_	(for Devin Willis)	No	(for Nathan Damigo)
			5, has Devin Willis proven by a pa conspired to violate his crights?
Yes_	(for Devin Willis)	No	(for Identity Evropa)
FINDI		GINIA RAC SMENT CLA	IAL, RELIGIOUS, OR ETHNIC AIMS
Plaintiff Eli	zabeth Sines		
109. defen	Has Elizabeth Sines proven be adant conspired with any other s		rance of the evidence that any specific on to violate her rights?
Yes_	(for Elizabeth Sine	s) No_	(for Defendants)
110.	If you answered "Yes" to Quonderance of the evidence that J		9, has Elizabeth Sines proven by a conspired to violate her rights?
Yes_	(for Elizabeth Sine	s) No_	(for Jason Kessler)

	111. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 109, has Elizabeth Sines proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Nathan Damigo conspired to violate her rights?				
Yes	(for Elizabeth Sines)	No	(for Nathan Damigo)		
	ou answered "Yes" to Question nce of the evidence that Identit		as Elizabeth Sines proven by a onspired to violate her rights?		
Yes	(for Elizabeth Sines)	No	(for Identity Evropa)		
Plaintiff Natalie l	Romero:				
	Natalie Romero proven by a p conspired with any other specif	-	ce of the evidence that any specific violate her rights?		
Yes	(for Natalie Romero)	No	(for Defendants)		
•	ou answered "Yes" to Question nce of the evidence that Jason	-	as Natalie Romero proven by a spired to violate her rights?		
Yes	(for Natalie Romero)	No	(for Jason Kessler)		
	ou answered "Yes" to Question nce of the evidence that Nathan		as Natalie Romero proven by a onspired to violate her rights?		
Yes	(for Natalie Romero)	No	(for Nathan Damigo)		
	ou answered "Yes" to Question nce of the evidence that Identit		as Natalie Romero proven by a onspired to violate her rights?		
Yes	(for Natalie Romero)	No	(for Identity Evropa)		
Plaintiff Thomas	Baker:				

117. Has Thomas Baker proven by a preponderance of the evidence that any specific defendant conspired with any other specific person to violate his rights?

Yes	(for Thomas Baker)	No	(for Defendants)
	you answered "Yes" to Question ance of the evidence that Jason		
Yes	(for Thomas Baker)	No	(for Jason Kessler)
	you answered "Yes" to Question ance of the evidence that Nathan		
Yes	(for Thomas Baker)	No	(for Nathan Damigo)
	you answered "Yes" to Question ance of the evidence that Identity		
Yes	(for Thomas Baker)	No	(for Identity Evropa)
laintiff Seth W	ispelwey:		
	s Seth Wispelwey proven by a p conspired with any other specif		ce of the evidence that any specific violate his rights?
Yes	(for Seth Wispelwey)	No	(for Defendants)
•	you answered "Yes" to Question ance of the evidence that Jason		1 1 1
Yes	(for Seth Wispelwey)	No	(for Jason Kessler)
	you answered "Yes" to Question ance of the evidence that Nathan		
Yes	(for Seth Wispelwey	No	(for Nathan Damigo)
	you answered "Yes" to Question ance of the evidence that Identit		

Yes	(for Seth Wispelwey)	No	(for Identity Evropa)
Plaintiff Mar	rissa Blair:		
125. defend	Has Marissa Blair proven by a proant conspired with any other special		
Yes	(for Marissa Blair) No		_(for Defendants)
126. prepon	If you answered "Yes" to Questic derance of the evidence that Jason		
Yes	(for Marissa Blair) No		_(for Jason Kessler)
	If you answered "Yes" to Questic derance of the evidence that Natha		
Yes	(for Marissa Blair) No		_(for Nathan Damigo)
128. prepon	If you answered "Yes" to Questic iderance of the evidence that Ident		
Yes	(for Marissa Blair) No		_(for Identity Evropa)
Plaintiff Apr	il Muniz:		
	Has April Muniz proven by a preant conspired with any other special		
Yes	(for April Muniz) No		_(for Defendants)
130. prepon	If you answered "Yes" to Questic iderance of the evidence that Jason		
Yes	(for April Muniz) No		_(for Jason Kessler)
131. prepon	If you answered "Yes" to Questic derance of the evidence that Natha		

Yes	(for April Muniz)	No	(for Nathan Damigo)	
			9, has April Muniz proven by a conspired to violate her rights?	
Yes	(for April Muniz)	No	(for Identity Evropa)	
Plaintiff Marcu	ıs Martin:			
	las Marcus Martin proven be toonspired with any other		rance of the evidence that any speci n to violate his rights?	ific
Yes	(for Marcus Martin	n) No_	(for Defendants)	
			3, has Marcus Martin proven by a conspired to violate his rights?	
Yes	(for Marcus Martin	n) No_	(for Jason Kessler)	
	•		3, has Marcus Martin proven by a go conspired to violate his rights?	
Yes	(for Marcus Martin	ı) No_	(for Nathan Damigo)	
			3, has Marcus Martin proven by a a conspired to violate his rights?	
Yes	(for Marcus Martin	ı) No_	(for Identity Evropa)	
Plaintiff Chelse	ea Alvarado:			
			derance of the evidence that any fic person to violate her rights?	
Yes	(for Chelsea Alvar	ado) No_	(for Defendants)	
			7, has April Muniz proven by a conspired to violate her rights?	

Signed:Date:			
Have the pres	siding juror sign and date this form	n.	
Yes_	(for Devin Willis) N	0	(for Identity Evropa)
144. prepo	If you answered "Yes" to Quest nderance of the evidence that Idea		
Yes_	(for Devin Willis) N	0	(for Nathan Damigo)
	If you answered "Yes" to Quest nderance of the evidence that Nat		1 -
Yes_	(for Devin Willis) N	0	(for Jason Kessler)
	If you answered "Yes" to Quest nderance of the evidence that Jaso		
Yes_	(for Devin Willis) N	0	(for Defendants)
141. defen	Has Devin Willis proven by a padant conspired with any other spe		of the evidence that any specific o violate his rights?
Plaintiff Dev	vin Willis:		
Yes_	(for Chelsea Alvarado	o) No	(for Identity Evropa)
140. prepo	If you answered "Yes" to Quest nderance of the evidence that Iden	ion No. 137, i ntity Evropa c	has April Muniz proven by a conspired to violate her rights?
Yes_	(for Chelsea Alvarado	o) No	(for Nathan Damigo)
139. prepo	If you answered "Yes" to Quest nderance of the evidence that Nat		
Yes_	(for Chelsea Alvarado	o) No	(for Jason Kessler)

PRESIDING JUROR

Respectfully Submitted,

s/ James E. Kolenich
James E. Kolenich
Kolenich Law Office
9435 Waterstone Blvd. #140
Cincinnati, OH 45249
JEK318@gmail.com

s/ Elmer Woodard
Elmer Woodard
5661 US Hwy 29
Blairs, VA 24527
isuecrooks@comcast.net

Trial Attorneys for Jason Kessler, Nathan Damigo, and Identity Evropa

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify the above was served on OCTOBER 12, 2021 on all ECF participants and that parties requiring service by other means were served as follows:

Robert Ray

azzmador@gmail.com

Vanguard America c/o Dillon Hopper

dillon\_hopper@protonmail.com

Elliott Kline eli.f.mosley@gmail.com deplorabletruth@gmail.com

Matthew Heimbach matthew.w.heimbach@gmail.com

Richardspencer@gmail.com

Christopher Cantwell

#00991-509

USP Marion

4500 Prison Rd.

PO Box 2000

Marion IL 62959

Respectfully Submitted,

s/ James E. Kolenich